

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/785,631	02/24/2004	Sung-Ho Kang	8054-43 (AW9005US/GJ) 3754	
22150	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			KRISHNAMURT	HY, RAMESH
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3753	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/785,631	KANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Jules</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowangles of the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Jules</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This structure is accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Jules</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9,10,12-16,19 and 21 is/are reference of the company of	wn from consideration. ejected. o.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 2 1(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04,0005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

This office action is responsive to communications filed 06/20/2005.

Claims 1 - 21 are pending.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 12 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP S62-112710.

The document JP S62-112710 discloses a mass flow controller (Fig. 1) that comprises a base having a first passage with an inlet and an outlet portion, a mass flow sensor (4, 5) connected to the first passage and adjacent to the inlet portion, a first control valve (35) connected to the first passage and adjacent the outlet portion, a valve controller (28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33) compares the mass flow measured by the mass flow sensor to a standard flow (represented by (32)) and a second control valve (23) having a poppet valve head and provided with a driving unit (24) and connected to the first passage adjacent to the inlet portion. A bypass portion (22) is disposed upstream of the second valve (23). The driving unit (24) for the second valve (23) comprises a motor i.e. handle (24) that is rotated by a rotary force resulting in a reciprocal movement of the poppet (37). Thus a driving screw and a driven screw are considered inherent to the driving unit associated with the second control valve (23), such elements being conventional in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,631

Art Unit: 3753

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 7, 10, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP S62-112710 as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 9 and 12 16 above, and further in view of Vavra et al. (US 4,858,643).

The disclosure of JP S62-112710 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing the bypass portion to include a plurality of capillaries.

Vavra discloses fluid flow stabilizing apparatus comprising a plurality of capillaries disposed in a bypass passage associated with a mass flow sensor for the purpose of ensuring laminar flow through the device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in the device of JP S62-112710, a plurality of

capillaries disposed in a bypass passage associated with a mass flow sensor for the purpose of ensuring laminar flow through the device, as recognized by Vavra et al. It is noted that Vavra et al. disclose both a plurality of second and third passages disposed in the bypass.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP S62-112710 in view of the prior art admitted to by the applicant.

The document JP S62-112710 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing the driving means for the second valve to include a solenoid.

The prior art admitted to by the applicant (Fig. 1) discloses that it is known in the art to use solenoids to drive the valve.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a solenoid drive means in place of the mechanical drive means in JP S62-112710 for the purpose of obtaining precise and controllable movements of the valve as evident from the prior art admitted (Fig. 1) to by the applicant.

- 7. Claims 3, 8, 11, 17, 18 and 20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/785,631 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

(571) 272 - 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from

10:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel, can be reached on (571) 272 - 4929. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(571) 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753